	Yes	No	Can't tell
Is the included population explicitly described?			□
Is the intervention and control explicitly described?			□
Is the setting (i.e., clinics, multi-site, primary care) explicitly described?			□
Are the outcomes explicitly described?			□
Are the outcome measurements valid (objective, using standard/validated measures)?			
Is the study adequately randomised (i.e., the allocation process truly random)			
Is the randomisation method described?			
Is the level of blinding reported?			
Could the level of blinding affect the interpretation of the results (observer bias, bias in			
analysis, use of objective or subjective outcome measures, etc)			
Are both groups treated in exactly the same way apart from the intervention?			
Are treatment and control groups similar at baseline?			
Are any baseline differences explained and/or accounted for at analysis?			
Are all randomised people accounted for at the end of the RCT?			
Does the RCT present an intention-to-treat analysis?			
Are final results based on more than 80% of people randomised?			□
Are all clinically relevant outcomes reported?			
Does the RCT report a direct statistical analysis between groups?			□
Does the RCT report appropriate test statistics (absolute numbers, P values, confidence		□	□
intervals with RRs/ORs/HRs)?	<u> </u>		
Does the RCT report on the clinical relevance/importance of the results?			
Are the setting and intervention generalisable to routine clinical practice/care?			